

MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP  
KENT M. ROGER, State Bar No. 95987  
HERMAN J. HOYING, State Bar No. 257495  
JENNIFER L. CALVERT, State Bar No. 258018  
One Market, Spear Street Tower  
San Francisco, CA 94105-1126  
Tel: 415.442.1000  
Fax: 415.442.1001  
kroger@morganlewis.com  
hhoying@morganlewis.com  
jennifer.calvert@morganlewis.com

Attorneys for Defendants  
HITACHI, LTD., HITACHI DISPLAYS, LTD.,  
HITACHI ELECTRONIC DEVICES (USA), INC.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
(SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION)

IN RE: TFT-LCD (FLAT PANEL)  
ANTITRUST LITIGATION

Case No. 3:07-md-1827 SI  
MDL No. 1827

This Document Relates to:  
DIRECT PURCHASER CLASS ACTION

**JOINT STIPULATION AND ~~PROPOSED~~  
ORDER RE: BRIEFING SCHEDULE AND  
HEARING DATE FOR DIRECT PURCHASER  
CLASS PLAINTIFFS' OBJECTION TO  
SPECIAL MASTER'S DENIAL OF DIRECT  
PURCHASER CLASS PLAINTIFFS' MOTION  
TO COMPEL (DKT. NO. 2756)**

1 WHEREAS, on April 26, 2011, Special Master Quinn issued an Order Denying Motion of  
 2 Direct Purchaser Class Plaintiffs to Compel Hitachi to Produce Foreign Regulatory Documents  
 3 (Dkt. No. 2686);

4 WHEREAS, on May 10, 2011, Direct Purchaser Class Plaintiffs filed an Objection to  
 5 Special Master's Denial of Direct Purchaser Class Plaintiffs' Motion to Compel (filed under seal  
 6 at Dkt. No. 2756) ("Objection");

7 WHEREAS Hitachi, Ltd., Hitachi Displays, Ltd., and Hitachi Electronic Devices (USA),  
 8 Inc. (collectively, the "Hitachi Defendants") and Direct Purchaser Class Plaintiffs ("Plaintiffs")  
 9 have reached an agreement to continue the hearing date on Plaintiffs' Objection (previously  
 10 scheduled for June 17, 2011) until July 12, 2011 at 3:00 p.m.

11 WHEREAS the Court has confirmed its availability for the hearing on July 12, 2011 at  
 12 3:00 p.m;

13 WHEREAS, this continuation will not alter the date of any other event or deadline already  
 14 fixed by the Court;

15 NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED by and among the  
 16 undersigned counsel, on behalf of their respective clients, the Hitachi Defendants, on the one  
 17 hand, and Plaintiffs on the other hand, as follows:

- 18 1. The Hitachi Defendants' response to Plaintiffs' Objection is due June 21, 2011;
- 19 2. Plaintiffs' reply in support of their Objection is due June 28, 2011;
- 20 3. The hearing on Plaintiffs' Objection will go forward on July 12, 2011 at 3:00 p.m.;
- 21 4. Except as set forth above, all Local Rules shall remain in effect with respect to the  
 22 briefing on the Objection.

1 **IT IS SO STIPULATED.**

2 Dated: May 24, 2011

3 /s/ Kent M. Roger

4 Kent M. Roger  
5 kroger@morganlewis.com  
6 Morgan Lewis & Bockius LLP  
7 One Market, Spear Street Tower  
8 San Francisco, CA 94105  
9 Tel: (415) 442-1000  
10 Fax: (415) 442-1001

11 *Attorneys for Defendants Hitachi, Ltd., Hitachi Displays,*  
12 *Ltd., and Hitachi Electronic Devices (USA), Inc.*

13 /s/ Brendan Glackin

14 Brendan Glackin  
15 bglackin@lchb.com  
16 Lieff, Cabraser, Heimann & Bernstein, LLP  
17 275 Battery Street, 29th Floor  
18 San Francisco, CA 94111  
19 Tel: (415) 956-1000  
20 Fax: (415) 956-1008

21 *Attorneys for Direct Purchaser Class Plaintiffs*

22 **FILER'S ATTESTATION**

23 I, Kent M. Roger, am the ECF user whose identification and password are being used to file  
24 this Stipulation and [Proposed] Order. In compliance with General Order 45.X.B, I hereby  
25 attest that Brendan Glackin concurs in this filing.

26 /s/ Kent M. Roger

27 Kent M. Roger  
28 *Attorneys for Defendants Hitachi, Ltd., Hitachi Displays,*  
*Ltd., and Hitachi Electronic Devices (USA), Inc.*

**[PROPOSED] ORDER**

PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: 5/25/11, 2011

By 

HON. SUSAN ILLSTON  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

YOU ARE HEREBY NOTIFIED THAT Mr. Roger's office is reminded to review the Courts initial order with respect to filing documents in this and any related individual case.

Also, the Court granted leave to file a motion Re: Objections to Special Master's Denial of a motion to compel. The motion was filed under seal. There has been no public version filed and this motion was not docketed for a hearing date. Counsel must notice their motions on the docket otherwise, the hearing will not appear on the calendar.

The motion that was not on our calendar for June 17, 2011, has been continued to July 12, 2011, however you must actually set that for hearing when the redacted version is filed with the Court.